



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,668	07	7/02/2003	Gunter Holzner	81455-5560	7260
28765	7590	09/21/2005		EXAMINER	
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP				LAMM, MARINA	
	1700 K STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1616	<u> </u>	

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>	•						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Commons	10/613,668	HOLZNER ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Marina Lamm	1616						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-7,10-14,23 and 24 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-7, 10-14, 23 and 24 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to by the Examine 10) □ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	wn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the legration of the legration of the legration is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the legration is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the legration is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the legration is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the legration is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the legration is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the legration is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the legration is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the legration is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the legration is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the legration is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the legration is required in the legration in the legration is required in the legratio	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/613,668 Page 2

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/31/05 has been entered.
- 2. Claims pending are 1-7, 10-14, 23 and 24. Claims 1, 2 and 4 have been amended. Claims 8, 9, 15-22 and 25 have been cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 2, 10 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 2, 10 and 24 are viewed as indefinite because they recite the limitation "chlorinated hydrocarbons." The term "chlorinated hydrocarbons" presents uncertainty with respect to the question of scope of the claims because it is unclear what particular compounds are encompassed by the instant claims. It is well known that some chlorinated hydrocarbons (e.g. DDT) are highly toxic for humans.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,668 Page 3

Art Unit: 1616

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1-6, 11, 13, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Murphy et al. (US 6,555,098), of record.

Murphy et al. teach deodorant products containing sodium bicarbonate and 0.1-20% of a fragrance ingredient encapsulated into a water-soluble or water-insoluble polymer. See col. 2, lines 16-44; col. 3, lines 34-39; col. 4, lines 4-9. When a water-insoluble polymer is used for the encapsulation, a surface coating of the polymer preferably contains 5-30% of a particulate filler, such as sodium carbonate. See col. 3, lines 39-47. The polymer-encapsulated particles of Murphy et al. are preferably spraydried. See col. 2, lines 60-65. With respect to Claims 23 and 24, the reference teaches the claimed method step, i.e. adding a fireproof agent such as sodium carbonate to the microcapsule containing a perfuming ingredient.

Thus, Murphy et al. teach each and every limitation of Claims 1-6, 11, 13, 23 and 24.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,668 Page 4

Art Unit: 1616

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 7, 10, 12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murphy et al. (US 6,555,098).

Murphy et al. applied as above. The reference does not explicitly teach the claimed step of adding the fireproofing agent (here, sodium carbonate) to an aqueous emulsion of the perfuming ingredient in the carrier polymeric material. However, Murphy et al. teach incorporating a particulate filler, such as sodium carbonate into a water-insoluble polymer coating as discussed above. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to add the particulate filler (sodium carbonate) to the aqueous emulsion of the perfuming ingredient/sodium bicarbonate in the carrier water-insoluble polymeric material with a reasonable expectation of incorporating sodium carbonate into the water-insoluble polymer coating in order to achieve the desired effect of release of the core materials from the capsules.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7, 10-14, 23 and 24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,668

Art Unit: 1616

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US 4,597,959; US 5,098,725; US 6,197,349; US 6,531,155; WO 91/17821; EP 1064856.

11. No claim is allowed at this time.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marina Lamm whose telephone number is (571) 272-0618. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 11am to 7pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, can be reached at (571) 272-0887.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ml 9/17/05

> SREENI PADMANABHAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5